Witness Statement

Returned Wrong Vehicle Components — Milimani Small Claims Court

Educational reconstruction based on a published Kenyan judicial decision. This is newly drafted sample wording, not the original filed document and not a case handled by our team. Parties and identifying details are replaced with fields for completion. The source judgment may display original public case details.

Purpose and correct procedural stage

Looking for a witness statement for returned wrong vehicle components in Nairobi? This page provides a reconstructed draft for Milimani Small Claims Court, with editable Word and PDF downloads. A Kenyan lawyer or advocate can adapt the document to the correct party, evidence and procedural stage.

Use the formal section only after completing the fields from the actual record. The educational notes and later outcome are separate from the draft and should not be filed as allegations that existed at the earlier stage. Keep the actual party’s legal position: Buyer.

Reconstructed formal document

REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE SMALL CLAIMS COURT AT MILIMANI, NAIROBI
CASE NO [number] OF [year]
[Claimant] — CLAIMANT
VERSUS
[Respondent] — RESPONDENT

WITNESS STATEMENT

1. I, [witness name], of [address retained in the filed record], make this statement in my capacity as [actual role]. I identify below the matters I personally observed and the records I am competent to explain.

2. My connection with the transaction is [state actual participation and, for a business witness, authority and record-keeping role]. I do not give evidence on behalf of a mechanic, police officer, bank or other participant about matters outside my personal knowledge.

3. I made two separate purchases of vehicle components from the supplier. I returned the first component after it did not match the specification. The assurance concerning that purchase is recorded in [identify correspondence].

4. I separately ordered and paid for the second component. It could not be configured to my vehicle’s Engine Control Unit despite attempts at four garages. I identify the garages and the sequence of visits at [chronology and records].

5. I rejected and returned the second component. The date, recipient and acknowledgement are [complete from records]. I sought repayment for the returned components.

6. I also returned differential oil. Its description and return evidence are [complete]. Refunds or credits actually received by me are [identify, or state none only if accurate].

7. I identify the records I made, sent, received or maintained as [bundle page references and explanation of provenance]. For a record obtained from another person, the source and the basis on which I can speak to it are [complete from evidence].

8. Payments and credits I can explain are [date, amount, channel and allocation]. Any difference between an initiated payment and a completed or reversed transfer is addressed expressly. I rely on the net account, without treating a later judicial award as part of the original transaction.

9. The events I did not personally observe, and any matter requiring another witness, are [identify]. I have not adopted another participant’s observations as my own.

I confirm that the completed statement reflects my knowledge and the identified records.
Signed: [witness]
Date: [date]
[Apply any required statement of truth or registry attestation in the current prescribed form.]

Evidence and adaptation checks

First purchase records: First transaction and scope of the supplier’s promise. Record to locate: Order, payment and the assurance relating to the first component.

Second purchase records: Independent second contract and communicated requirement. Record to locate: Separate quotation, payment and specification correspondence.

Diagnostic and return evidence: Configuration problem, rejection chronology and receipt by supplier. Record to locate: Available mechanic records, messages and return acknowledgements; do not create a missing report.

Credit and oil records: Net relief and avoidance of duplicate recovery. Record to locate: Refund or disposal accounts and records identifying the oil.

Do not invent an expert report, a promise covering both purchases, or an appeal brought by the successful buyer.

What happened later — educational context only

The High Court preserved the refund outcome while correcting the legal basis for the second transaction. The successful buyer was the respondent to the supplier’s appeal.

The sample was drafted retrospectively from the published reasons. It is not evidence that these exact words, schedules or documents were filed in the source proceedings. Factual assertions must be verified independently before any real filing.

Source judgment and filing review

[2026] KEHC 13576 (KLR) — https://sheriahub.com/cases/ke/caselaw/gichuhi-v-mokua-2026-kehc-13576-klr

Full published judicial text, including final orders, reviewed 8 October 2026. The linked text is a reproduction of the judicial decision. The sample cites that decision as its factual and procedural starting point, not as a guarantee of another case’s outcome.

Before use, a qualified Kenyan advocate should check current legislation and rules, court competence, limitation or appeal time, prescribed forms, electronic-record foundations, service and registry directions. Do not copy historic contract terms into a different transaction.