Printer Invoice and Meter Counter Reconciliation Schedule

Educational sample 393 | Mombasa

Educational reconstruction from a reported Kenyan decision. Newly drafted wording, not an original court filing or a matter handled by our team. Specimen identities are bracketed. Adapt to genuine facts, current law, jurisdiction and court directions before signing, swearing or filing.

Reconstructed formal document

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE SMALL CLAIMS COURT AT MOMBASA

SMALL CLAIM NO [reference]

[SUPPLIER CLAIMANT] v [CUSTOMER RESPONDENT]

EVIDENCE OR COMPUTATION SCHEDULE

1. This schedule supports the actual Small Claims Court evidence exchange. For each invoice enter its genuine date, printer reference, period, opening and closing black and colour readings, unit rates, tax, amount, proof that counter information was available and authenticating witness.

2. For the source first machine example, 5,945 black pages at KES 2 plus 3,202 colour pages at KES 10 yields KES 43,910 before VAT and KES 50,935.60 including sixteen-percent VAT. The next 104 black and 146 colour pages yields KES 1,934.88 on that historical tax basis.

3. Link each replacement machine to its genuine installation, closing and opening records. Do not add successive cumulative meter totals together. Photographs and print-outs must identify the actual machine and period through reliable accompanying records, not invented identifiers.

4. Separate page rental, ordinary maintenance, alleged misuse repair, late-payment charges and uplifting. State the contractual basis and supporting evidence for each. The source excluded KES 17,400 uplifting from KES 471,397.69, leaving KES 453,997.69.

5. Credit each verified payment once, including the source later KES 77,436 cheque. Arithmetic from the decimal trial award yields KES 376,561.69, while the operative appeal order states the secured sum as KES 376,561. Preserve that discrepancy for reconciliation against the sealed decree and actual bank records.

6. Mark missing, disputed or clarified entries expressly. This is an evidential accounting aid and not proof of the contract, VAT status or future tax rate. Deposit interest and appeal costs must remain separate from invoice principal and be governed by the actual orders.

DATED at [place] on [date]. Signed [party or advocate]. DRAWN AND FILED BY [name and address for service]. TO BE SERVED UPON [each affected person and address].

Use and evidence notes

This is an evidential or accounting aid, not an originating process or independent proof. Give dates, authors or custodians, admissibility status, actual document pages and transparent calculations. Label missing and disputed items. Obtain the applicable leave or directions for supplementary evidence, serve it and prevent duplicate credits or recovery.

Supporting material: [date]; [author or custodian]; [description]; [bundle page]; [authenticating witness]; [admission or permission]. Attach genuine records only. This public specimen contains no exhibits, signatures, personal identifiers or sworn declaration.

Source and procedural limits

A printer supplier claimed KES 471,397.69 for page-count charges, repair, late-payment charges and uplifting. The customer disputed counter documentation, repair liability and fees. The trial court excluded KES 17,400 uplifting and awarded KES 453,997.69. A later KES 77,436 cheque was credited, and a balance was secured during appeal.

The High Court dismissed the appeal. The contracts required counter information to be available, not a prescribed document format as a condition precedent. The customer own bundle supplied supporting readings. The operative order released KES 376,561 plus accrued deposit interest to the supplier and awarded appeal costs.

Section 38 of the Small Claims Court Act confines appeal to matters of law; the High Court did not rehear factual credibility. Distinguish repair due to proven misuse from ordinary maintenance, contractual penalties from invented fees, and trial proof from summary judgment on admissions. The source rounds the deposit balance to KES 376,561 despite decimal trial figures; reconcile the sealed decree and bank records.

[2026] KEHC 11259 (KLR) - 24 July 2026. Source decision: https://sheriahub.com/cases/ke/caselaw/mombasa-parents-club-ta-nyali-school-v-four-parts-solutions-ltd-2026-kehc-11259-klr

Reproduced primary text checked: https://sheriahub.com/cases/ke/caselaw/mombasa-parents-club-ta-nyali-school-v-four-parts-solutions-ltd-2026-kehc-11259-klr

The original decision may identify participants. The specimen brackets identifying details. A source judgment does not prove this reconstruction was filed, an allegation succeeded, a later order remains unchanged or the same result will follow in another case.